

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/036,155	12/26/2001	Mark Lelental	83302D-W	3978
75	90 03/03/2003			
Paul A. Leipold			EXAMINER	
Patent Legal Staff Eastman Kodak Company			SCHILLING, RICHARD L	
343 State Street Rochester, NY	14650-2201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Roomester, 14 1	14030*2201		1752	
			DATE MAILED: 03/03/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.  10/036155 Lelental etal
Office Action Summary	
	Examiner Group Art Unit RLSchilling 1752
—The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address-
Priod fr Reply	_
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,  - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by def	FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. fault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2 -10.	-03
☐ This action is FINAL.	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance excaccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle,	cept for formal matters, <b>prosecution as to the merits is closed</b> in 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Dispositi n of Claims	
@ Claim(s)	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
2 Claim(s) 1-14, 19-4	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
□ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election
Applicati n Papers	requirement.
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra	
☐ The <del>proposed</del> drawing cerrection, filed on <u>2</u> - 10-0	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of	ojected to by the Examiner.
E Tho didwing(o) mod on	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
	or.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	÷r.
<ul> <li>□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine</li> <li>Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)</li> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies</li> </ul>	ry under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).
<ul> <li>□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine</li> <li>Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)</li> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies</li> <li>□ received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu</li> </ul>	ry under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have been
<ul> <li>□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine</li> <li>Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)</li> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies</li> <li>□ received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu</li> <li>□ received in this national stage application from the</li> </ul>	ry under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have been simber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
<ul> <li>□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine</li> <li>Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)</li> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies</li> <li>□ received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu</li> <li>□ received in this national stage application from the</li> <li>*Certified copies not received:</li> </ul>	ry under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have been simber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
<ul> <li>□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine</li> <li>Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)</li> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies</li> <li>□ received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu</li> <li>□ received in this national stage application from the</li> <li>*Certified copies not received:</li> </ul> Attachment(s)	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have been simber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
<ul> <li>□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine</li> <li>Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)</li> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies</li> <li>□ received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu</li> <li>□ received in this national stage application from the</li> <li>*Certified copies not received:</li> <li>Attachment(s)</li> <li>□ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper</li> </ul>	er No(s).
<ul> <li>□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine</li> <li>Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)</li> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies</li> <li>□ received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu</li> <li>□ received in this national stage application from the</li> <li>*Certified copies not received:</li> </ul> Attachment(s)	er No(s) Interview Summary, PTO-413

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Serial No. 10/036,155
Art Unit 1752

Claims 1-14 and 19-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Jonas et al. '515 and Krafft et al. '981 further in view of Majumdar et al. '655 for the same reasons as set forth in paragraph 5 of the last Office action filed October 7, 2001. Applicants' argument that there is no motivation to combine Jonas et al. and Krafft et al. is unconvincing since Jonas et al. (column 2, lines 44-47) specifically discloses using polymeric binders as set forth in Krafft et al. as binders in their conductive coatings comprising polythiophenes and conductivity enhancers as set forth in the instant claims. Also, both Jonas et al. and Krafft et al. are directed to conductive layers comprising polythiophenes as conductive polymers and polymeric binders. It would be obvious to one skilled in the art to use the polymeric binders of Krafft for the polymeric binders in Jonas et al. since both references use polymeric binders for conductive layers containing the same conductive polymers. Also, as stated in the first Office action, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art to use the particular gelatin derivative binders for polythiophene conductive polymers disclosed in Majumdar et al. as the generically for water soluble binders for polythiophene conductive polymers set forth in the combination of Krafft et al. and Jonas et al. The instant claims include gelatin derivative binders which would include the gelatin derivative binders for

-3-

Serial No. 10/036,155
Art Unit 1752

conductive polymer particles disclosed in Majumdar et al. The comparative Examples in applicant's specification report results in Table I wherein the neutral charge conductivity enhancers increase the conductivity of layers comprising polythiophene and gelatin. However, the compounds used in the working Examples to increase conductivity are known in the art from Jonas et al. as increasing conductivity in polythiophene conductive layers. Therefore, the results reported in the specification for adding conductivity enhancers to polythiophene layers and getting increased conductivity would be expected by one skilled in the art from Jonas et al. Also, since jonas et al. teaches adding conductivity enhancers to polythiophene conductive layers which may comprise binders, including water soluble binders as set forth in Krafft et al., it would be obvious to one skilled in the art to add the conductivity enhancers of Jonas et al. (Formula II) to the conductive layers in Majumdar et al. in order to increase conductivity of the conductive layers in Majumdar et al. The comparisons in the specification do not show unexpected results using gelatin binders since other water soluble binders, e.g. polyvinyl alcohol as disclosed in Krafft et al., are not used for comparisons.

2. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant

Serial No. 10/036,155
Art Unit 1752

regards as the invention. Amended claim 8 contains a period in the middle of the claim. Also the term "(C) is selected from" in claim 8 is indefinite. It appears that claim 8 should read "compound or (C) selected from . . . ".

- 3. Anderson et al. is cited of interest in the art as disclosing antistatic layers comprising polythiophene in water soluble polymeric binders.
- 4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a). The practice of automatically extending the shortened statutory period an additional month upon the filing of a timely first response to a final rejection has been discontinued by the Office. See 1021 TMOG 35.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Art Unit 1752

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Mr. Schilling at telephone number (703) 308-4403.

RLSchilling:cdc

February 27, 2003

RICHARD L. SCHILLING
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1400-/ > <

GROUP 1<del>100</del>-/752